

Terumi Morita

FREE GUIDE · V1

# A 5,000-Year History of Sauce

*What the kitchen gained — and lost — before and after the mother sauces.*

**Terumi Morita**

Japanese chef trained in French cooking · Ho Chi Minh City  
terumimorita.com · [substack.com/@teroom](https://substack.com/@teroom)

*What the Kitchen Gained — and Lost — Before and After the Mother Sauces*

**Terumi Morita** · Japanese chef trained in French cooking, based in Ho Chi Minh City  
[terumimorita.com](http://terumimorita.com) · v1 draft

---

## **What this PDF gives you**

A way of reading sauce as the long record of how human kitchens have handled fat, acid, salt, and aromatic — not as "the flavor you add at the end." After reading, the five sauces every French kitchen teaches (Béchamel, Beurre Blanc, Hollandaise, Pan Sauce, Tomato Sauce) should look slightly different than they did before. The point is not encyclopedic coverage; the point is to give a working cook a way of reading any sauce, anywhere, against the long arc.

# Foreword

This is a short essay, not a textbook. It traces a single thread — the history of sauce in Western and Asian kitchens — from the residue at the bottom of Neolithic cooking pots to the five sauces that organize most professional French kitchens today.

Most of what follows is conventional food history. Where the conventional account is disputed, I say so. Where my own view diverges from the textbook, I mark it. No health claims, no mystical "ancient secrets" — just the slow accumulation of what kitchens have done with fat, acid, salt, and aromatic over five thousand years, and how that history shows up in the saucepan you have on the stove right now.

---

# 1. Before sauce had a name

The earliest evidence of what we'd now call sauce sits in the kitchen middens of the Neolithic — roughly 5,000 years ago. Archaeologists keep finding the same combinations of residues alongside cooking pots: animal fats, fermented fish remains, marine shells, the seeds of acidic fruits. Cooking with liquid existed long before the word *sauce* did. The thing itself preceded the category.

What people seem to have been doing — across Mesopotamia, the Yangtze valley, the early Mediterranean — was using liquid to do four things that the dry hot stone of a fire could not:

carry **fat** (rendered, melted, or skimmed)

carry **acid** (fermented fruit, soured milk, wild vinegar)

carry **salt** (sea water, evaporated brine, fermented fish liquid)

carry **aromatic** plant essences (crushed herbs, resins, wild alliums)

These four channels — fat, acid, salt, aromatic — are the four channels every sauce in every cuisine has used since. The history of sauce is, in part, the history of how kitchens organized those four channels into increasingly specific forms.

The Sumerian cuneiform tablets at Yale (catalog YBC 4644, dated to roughly 1750 BCE) — the world's oldest known cookbooks — already record stews built around such combinations. Lamb in beer with leek and garlic. Pigeon in milk. Broth-soaked grains. The instructions are terse, but the architecture is unmistakable: a liquid base, a fat, a souring agent, an aromatic. Five thousand years later, a French *pan sauce* uses the same four channels in roughly the same order. The vocabulary changed; the logic did not.

*Cook's takeaway — fat, acid, salt, and aromatic are not modern flavor categories. They are the five-thousand-year-old architecture every sauce inherits. The cook who works from this architecture, rather than from a recipe, can read any sauce in any cuisine.*

## 2. Rome, fish sauce, and the ancient logic of concentration

The Roman empire industrialized one of those four channels — salt-and-umami — and turned it into a commodity. **Garum**, the famous Roman fermented fish sauce, was produced at scale in factories along the Mediterranean coast from at least the 1st century BCE. Fish guts and small whole fish were layered with salt in shallow stone tanks and left in the sun for weeks. The resulting amber liquid (and its solids, *allec*) was shipped in amphorae across the empire. Pompeii had garum factories; the brand on the labels of certain Pompeian amphorae, *Scaurus*, is sometimes cited as the world's earliest named food brand.

What garum was, chemically, was a hydrolysate — a pre-digested concentrate of fish proteins, dense in **free glutamate**. The Roman cook used it the way a modern cook reaches for soy sauce or anchovy paste. A small splash transformed a dish from flat to deep. It is also almost certainly an ancestor (whether by direct transmission or by parallel evolution) of the fermented fish sauces of South-East Asia — Vietnamese *nước mắm*, Thai *nam pla*, and the surviving Italian *colatura di alici* of Cetara. The technique migrates; the logic is the same.

The other Roman gift was the codification of **concentration** as a principle: a liquid reduced over heat to intensify what's in it. Apicius's *De re coquinaria* (compiled in the 4th-5th century CE, though loosely attributed to a 1st-century gourmand) is full of sauces built down from broth and wine, thickened occasionally with starch and very often with egg yolks. The egg-yolk-as-thickener move that the French would later turn into Hollandaise and Crème Anglaise was already, in rougher form, in Apicius. The technique is old; the taxonomy was not yet.

*Cook's takeaway — garum was the world's most successful umami concentrate. It vanished from Europe and survived in Asia. Most "modern" fish-sauce thinking is, structurally, very old. The Roman gift of reduction-as-principle is what the French would later turn into stock work.*

### 3. Medieval kitchens and the age of spice

The European medieval period — roughly the 5th to 15th century — did three things to sauce that the modern French school later quietly walked back. It loved **spice**, it leaned hard on **acid**, and it thickened with **bread**.

Spice arrived through long trade routes (the Levant, the Indian Ocean, the Silk Road) and was used in quantities that startle modern palates. *Le Viandier*, attributed to **Guillaume Tirel ("Taillevent")** around 1300, is full of sauces saturated with ginger, grains of paradise, long pepper, cubeb, cinnamon. The spice profile of medieval Europe was closer to modern Indian or Moroccan cooking than to modern French. Read retrospectively, the convention was partly luxury display — spices were expensive, and visible spicing signaled wealth — but the underlying logic was also aromatic and preservative.

The thickening agents were also different. Bread, almonds, and ground nuts dominated where roux and starch would later take over. *Le Viandier's Saupiquet* (a sweet-sour sauce for capon) is thickened with breadcrumbs soaked in wine. Almond milk — ground blanched almonds whisked with water — gave body to fast-day sauces when dairy was forbidden by the religious calendar. Verjuice, the juice of unripe grapes, was the acid of choice: sharper than vinegar, quieter than lemon (which was rare and northern-borne until the late medieval citrus trade).

What is striking from the modern side is how **regional** medieval sauces were. There was no pan-European canon. A sauce in 14th-century Sicily looked nothing like a sauce in 14th-century Burgundy, which looked nothing like a sauce in 14th-century London. The codification we now associate with French cooking was still several centuries away.

*Cook's takeaway — medieval sauces were acid-forward, heavily spiced, and locally varied — almost the opposite of what France would later canonize. When modern sauce thinking feels narrow, the medieval kitchen is a useful corrective.*

## 4. French cuisine and the birth of structure

The shift began in the mid-17th century. **François Pierre La Varenne's** *Le Cuisinier françois* (1651) is the conventional starting point — the first cookbook of what would become the new French school. La Varenne did three things that mattered:

He moved decisively away from **bread thickening** and toward **roux** (flour cooked in butter or fat).

He reduced the spice load, letting native herbs (parsley, tarragon, chervil, thyme) and butter dominate.

He began a vocabulary of **named base preparations** — stock, *coulis*, *liaison* — that allowed cooks to reuse techniques across dishes rather than treating each sauce as a one-off invention.

A century and a half later, **Antonin Carême** (1784-1833) — chef to Talleyrand, then to Tsar Alexander I, then to the English Prince Regent, then to the Rothschilds — completed the move La Varenne had begun. Carême classified French sauces into **four "mother sauces" (*sauces mères*)** from which all others were said to descend: Béchamel, Velouté, Espagnole, and Allemande. Each was a particular structural pattern (white sauce on milk; white sauce on stock; brown sauce on stock; white-stock sauce thickened with egg) and each could be modified into dozens of "small sauces" by adding mushrooms, herbs, citrus, mustard, cheese, or wine.

**Auguste Escoffier** (1846-1935) inherited Carême's taxonomy and refined it. In *Le Guide Culinaire* (1903) — the most influential professional cookbook of the 20th century — Escoffier reclassified Allemande as a derivative, brought in Tomate (from the Italian tradition) and Hollandaise (the warm butter emulsion of Dutch-French heritage), and rounded the system to **five mothers**: Béchamel, Velouté, Espagnole, Tomate, Hollandaise. Most professional restaurant kitchens still teach this five today.

*Cook's takeaway — La Varenne (1651) replaced bread with roux. Carême (early 19th c.) named four mothers. Escoffier (1903) refined the system to five. Modern restaurant kitchens still teach this five, and the proportions in the recipes you cook today often trace directly back to Escoffier.*

## 5. What the mother sauces clarified

The mother-sauce system did something genuinely important: it turned sauce-making from a per-recipe craft into a **modular engineering practice**. A cook who learned Béchamel learned not one sauce but a family of dozens — add cheese for Mornay, mustard for Mustard sauce, onion purée for Soubise, shellfish butter for Nantua. The structure was the lesson; the variations were applications.

For a young cook entering a French restaurant in 1910, this taxonomy was an enormous accelerator. Instead of memorizing two hundred recipes, you learned five structures and the rules for varying them, and could improvise the rest. It is, structurally, the same move that mathematics made when it abstracted from arithmetic to algebra: once the underlying pattern is named, the specific cases become consequences rather than memorizations.

The taxonomy also produced **repeatability**. A Béchamel made at the Ritz Paris in 1903 and a Béchamel made at a hotel kitchen in Saigon in 1925 were essentially the same sauce, because both followed Escoffier's proportions and method. For the first time in culinary history, a sauce had a single canonical form that could be reproduced anywhere there was butter, flour, and milk.

*Cook's takeaway — the mother-sauce system is the most successful single act of culinary teaching in modern history. Its real gift is not the recipes — it is the structure that makes the recipes derivative. A cook who learns the five mothers learns hundreds of small sauces by consequence.*

## 6. What they may have hidden

The price of taxonomy is usually some loss of richness, and the mother-sauce system was no exception. Three things, at least, got quieter in the French codification.

**Regional fermented sauces.** The fermented bean pastes of East Asia, the anchovy condiments of the Mediterranean (still alive in *colatura di alici*), the cured-fish liquids of the Atlantic — none of these entered the French canon. Garum disappeared from European cooking after late antiquity and was not re-imported into the haute-cuisine vocabulary until very recently. (Restaurants like Noma have, from the 2010s onward, made their own garums and koji-based hydrolysates — partly, one suspects, as a deliberate restoration of this lost branch.)

**Peasant improvisation.** The single most under-acknowledged form of sauce-making in history is the *deglaze* — the bit of stock or wine or water swirled into the pan after meat was cooked, scraped up with the fond, finished with butter or fat. It is what a French *jus de viande* or a contemporary pan sauce is. The mother-sauce system named it but never quite gave it equal status with the structured sauces, partly because it cannot be canonized — every pan sauce is different, every fond is contextual, the cook is improvising. In the Carême-Escoffier hierarchy, a pan sauce sat below a properly built Espagnole. In the actual home kitchens and bistro kitchens of the same period, it was almost certainly the sauce that was used most often.

**Acid-forward thinking.** Medieval European cooking — like most of the world's cooking traditions outside post-Carême France — used acid as a primary axis of structure. Verjuice, vinegar, citrus, fermented things, unripe fruit. The French school of the 19th and early 20th century is, by global comparison, *low-acid*. It leans on fat and stock and starch where many other traditions lean on souring. When a modern French restaurant brings out a *beurre blanc* — a wine-and-vinegar reduction emulsified with butter — it is partly a corrective gesture, restoring an acid register the system tends to suppress.

**Regional variety more broadly.** The French codification produced a single sauce vocabulary that was then exported, via colonial and prestige channels, around the world — at times flattening local sauce traditions in the process. There are still cooks in Provence, in the Basque country, in Alsace, who maintain that the "real" sauces of their region were never the Parisian mother sauces but the local ones the codification overlooked.

None of this is an indictment of the mother-sauce system. It is the most successful single act of culinary teaching in modern history. But the catalog is not the territory, and a cook who treats it as the territory has lost more than they realize.

*Cook's takeaway — the canon left out fermented sauces, the everyday pan sauce, and acid-forward thinking. These are not flaws of French cooking. They are reminders that the canon is not the territory — and that the cook who can step outside the canon, deliberately, has more range than the cook who can only stay inside it.*

## 7. How to read sauce as a cook today

The useful posture for a cook now, with all five thousand years available, is to treat the mother-sauce system as **a powerful local maximum, not the global one**. It is the best system anyone has yet built for *teaching* sauce-making — its structure is genuinely instructive — and it is the right place for almost any cook to start. But it is not the full territory.

Three practical implications:

**Learn the mother sauces because they teach the techniques** — roux for thickening, reduction for concentration, emulsion for stability, tempering for egg-yolk sauces, deglaze for fond extraction. These techniques are the actual transferable knowledge; the mother sauces are the cleanest way to acquire them.

**Don't mistake the canon for the boundary.** Garum has come back. Asian fermented condiments have become legible to Western fine dining. The medieval love of acid is alive in the verjuice revival in Australian and Californian kitchens. The mother-sauce system is one branch of a much larger tree, and several other branches are quietly bearing fruit again.

**Trust the pan sauce.** The improvised deglaze-and-mount that bistro cooks and home cooks have been doing for centuries is closer to what most actual meals need than a properly built Espagnole. The mother sauces are necessary teachers. The pan sauce is the everyday tool.

## 8. Five recipes to understand sauce

The shortest path through this history, in practice, is to cook five sauces. Each one teaches a different lesson, and together they cover the four channels (fat, acid, salt, aromatic) and the four techniques (roux, reduction, emulsion, deglaze) that the post-Carême system named.

**Béchamel Sauce** — roux + dairy. The mother sauce that teaches starch gelatinization and the white-roux discipline. The architectural foundation.

**Beurre Blanc** — vinegar reduction + butter emulsion. The acid corrective. Teaches what an emulsion is without involving egg yolk, and shows that French sauce-making is broader than the Carême system alone.

**Hollandaise Sauce** — egg yolk + warm butter emulsion + acid reduction. Escoffier's fifth mother. Teaches the narrow temperature window where egg-yolk emulsions hold.

**Basic Pan Sauce** — fond + deglaze + butter mount. The unnamed everyday sauce that almost every cook actually uses. The post-mother-sauce restoration.

**Basic Tomato Sauce** — slow reduction of a fruit-acid base. The mother sauce that came from outside France (the Italian peninsula, post-Columbian), proving the canon was never closed.

Once these five are in the hand, every other sauce in the global repertoire becomes legible.

### A note on tools

The technique work matters more than the equipment, but two things are worth paying for:

A heavy saucepan that holds heat evenly (the difference between scorched roux and good roux is usually pan thickness).

A whisk that you trust.

The sauce tools I actually use are a short, conservative list. The equipment is meant to disappear into the work.

---

## What's next

If this kind of reading interests you — sauce as the long record of how kitchens have organized fat, acid, salt, and aromatic, not as a flavor add-on — the next essay in this register arrives in your inbox roughly every other week. No marketing emails, no upsells. Just the food-history-and-technique essays as they're finished.

The single most useful next step, though, is probably not the newsletter. It is to cook one of the five sauces above. Béchamel is the architectural foundation. Pan sauce is the everyday tool. Either will teach you more in 20 minutes than this PDF can in 25 pages.

→ [Try the five sauces](#)

→ [Join the newsletter](#)

→ [See the books](#)

---

*Terumi Morita is a Japanese chef trained in French cooking, based in Ho Chi Minh City. She writes about the long history of cooking, the science underneath it, and the techniques that survive when the recipes change.*

---

[日本語版はこちら →](#)

---

© 2026 Terumi Morita. All rights reserved.

Japanese chef · Culinary author · Ho Chi Minh City

This site contains Amazon affiliate links. As an Amazon Associate, the author earns from qualifying purchases — at no extra cost to you.

[X](#) [Threads](#) [Substack](#) [note](#) [Amazon](#) [Email](#)